

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/576,654	05/22/2000	Daniel P. Weitekamp	06618/457001/CIT2986	3283	
7	590 09/25/2003				
Scott C. Harris			EXAMINER		
Fish & Richardson PC 4350 La Jolla Village Drive Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92122			LUU, TH	LUU, THANH X	
San Diego, CA	92122		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2878		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>v'.</u>		16			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,		
Advisory Action	09/576,654	WEITEKAMP, DANIEL	_ P.		
navious naudi	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh X Luu	2878			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss		
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		•			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-26,28,29 and 32-50.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THANH X. LUL PATENT EXAMIN			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment adds new language and thus further consideration and/or search is required. Since the application is not in condition for allowance, this advisory action is proper.